



Lean on Goldbach's Conjecture

Frank Vega

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

September 5, 2023

Lean on Goldbach's conjecture

Frank Vega^{1*}

^{1*}Research Department, NataSquad, 10 rue de la Paix, Paris,
75002, France.

Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): vega.frank@gmail.com;

Abstract

Goldbach's conjecture is one of the most difficult unsolved problems in mathematics. This states that every even natural number greater than 2 is the sum of two prime numbers. The Goldbach's conjecture has been verified for every even number $N \leq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$. In this note, we prove that for every even number $N \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$, if there is a prime p and a natural number m such that $n < p < N - 1$, $p + m = N$, $\frac{N}{\sigma(m)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ and p is coprime with m , then m is necessarily a prime number when $N = 2 \cdot n$ and $\sigma(m)$ is the sum-of-divisors function of m . The previous inequality $\frac{N}{\sigma(m)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ holds whenever $\frac{N}{e^{\gamma \cdot m \cdot \log \log m}} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ also holds and $m \geq 11$ is an odd number, where $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and \log is the natural logarithm. We use a Lean Programming Language Code to show that this inequality always holds for some natural number $m \geq 11$ and every even number $N > 4 \cdot 10^{18}$. In this way, we prove that the Goldbach's conjecture is true using the artificial intelligence tools of the math library of Lean 4 as a proof assistant.

Keywords: Goldbach's conjecture, Prime numbers, Sum-of-divisors function, Euler's totient function, Proof assistants

MSC Classification: 11A41 , 11A25

1 Introduction

As usual $\sigma(n)$ is the sum-of-divisors function of n

$$\sum_{d|n} d,$$

where $d \mid n$ means the integer d divides n . Define $s(n)$ as $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$. In number theory, the p -adic order of an integer n is the exponent of the highest power of the prime number p that divides n . It is denoted $\nu_p(n)$. Equivalently, $\nu_p(n)$ is the exponent to which p appears in the prime factorization of n . We can state the sum-of-divisors function of n as

$$\sigma(n) = \prod_{p|n} \frac{p^{\nu_p(n)+1} - 1}{p - 1}$$

with the product extending over all prime numbers p which divide n . In addition, the well-known Euler's totient function $\varphi(n)$ can be formulated as

$$\varphi(n) = n \cdot \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

The Goldbach's conjecture has been verified for every even number $N \leq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ [1]. In mathematics, two integers a and b are coprime, if the only positive integer that is a divisor of both of them is 1. Putting all together yields the proof of the main theorem.

Theorem 1 *For every even number $N \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$, if there is a prime p and a natural number m such that $n < p < N - 1$, $p + m = N$, $\frac{N}{\sigma(m)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ and p is coprime with m , then m is necessarily a prime number when $N = 2 \cdot n$. The previous inequality $\frac{N}{\sigma(m)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ holds whenever $\frac{N}{e^{\gamma} \cdot m \cdot \log \log m} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ also holds and $m \geq 11$ is an odd number, where $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and \log is the natural logarithm. Using this last inequality and the artificial intelligence tools of the math library of Lean 4 as a proof assistant, we prove that the Goldbach's conjecture is true.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof Suppose that there is an even number $N \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ which is not a sum of two distinct prime numbers. We consider all the pairs of positive integers $(n - k, n + k)$ where $n = \frac{N}{2}$, $k < n - 1$ is a natural number, $n + k$ and $n - k$ are coprime integers and $n + k$ is prime. By definition of the functions $\sigma(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$, we know that

$$2 \cdot N = \sigma((n - k) \cdot (n + k)) - \varphi((n - k) \cdot (n + k))$$

when $n - k$ is also prime. We notice that

$$2 \cdot N < \sigma((n - k) \cdot (n + k)) - \varphi((n - k) \cdot (n + k))$$

when $n - k$ is not a prime. Certainly, we see that $(n - k) + (n + k) = N$ and thus, the inequality

$$2 \cdot ((n - k) + (n + k)) + \varphi((n - k) \cdot (n + k)) < \sigma((n - k) \cdot (n + k))$$

holds when $n - k$ is not a prime. That is equivalent to

$$2 \cdot ((n - k) + (n + k)) + \varphi(n - k) \cdot \varphi(n + k) < \sigma(n - k) \cdot \sigma(n + k)$$

since the functions $\sigma(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$ are multiplicative. Let's divide both sides by $(n - k) \cdot (n + k)$ to obtain that

$$2 \cdot \left(\frac{(n - k) + (n + k)}{(n - k) \cdot (n + k)} \right) + \frac{\varphi(n - k)}{n - k} \cdot \frac{\varphi(n + k)}{n + k} < s(n - k) \cdot s(n + k).$$

We know that

$$s(n - k) \cdot s(n + k) > 1$$

since $s(m) > 1$ for every natural number $m > 1$ [2]. Moreover, we could see that

$$2 \cdot \left(\frac{(n - k) + (n + k)}{(n - k) \cdot (n + k)} \right) = \frac{2}{n + k} + \frac{2}{n - k}$$

and therefore,

$$1 > \frac{2}{n + k} + \frac{2}{n - k} + \frac{\varphi(n - k)}{n - k} \cdot \frac{\varphi(n + k)}{n + k}.$$

It is enough to see that

$$1 > \frac{2}{2 \cdot 10^{18}} + \frac{2}{9} + \frac{2}{3} \geq \frac{2}{n + k} + \frac{2}{n - k} + \frac{\varphi(n - k)}{n - k} \cdot \frac{\varphi(n + k)}{n + k}$$

when $n + k$ is prime and $n - k$ is composite for $N \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$. Indeed, when $n + k$ is prime and $n - k$ is composite, then $n + k > 2 \cdot 10^{18}$ and $n - k \geq 9$ for $N \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$. Under our assumption, all these pairs of positive integers $(n - k, n + k)$ imply that

$$2 \cdot N < \sigma((n - k) \cdot (n + k)) - \varphi((n - k) \cdot (n + k))$$

holds whenever $n = \frac{N}{2}$, $k < n - 1$ is a natural number, $n + k$ and $n - k$ are coprime integers and $n + k$ is prime. Hence, we have

$$N < \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\sigma(n - k) \cdot \sigma(n + k) - \varphi(n - k) \cdot \varphi(n + k)).$$

Since $n + k$ is prime, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varphi(n + k)}{1 + n^{0.889}} &= \frac{n + k - 1}{1 + n^{0.889}} \\ &\geq \frac{n}{1 + n^{0.889}} \\ &\geq 2 \cdot \left(e^\gamma \cdot \log \log(n - 1) + \frac{2.5}{\log \log(n - 1)} \right)^2 \\ &\geq 2 \cdot \left(e^\gamma \cdot \log \log(n - k) + \frac{2.5}{\log \log(n - k)} \right)^2 \\ &> 2 \cdot \left(\frac{n - k}{\varphi(n - k)} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{n - k}{\varphi(n - k)} \cdot 2 \cdot \prod_{q|(n - k)} \left(\frac{q}{q - 1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Goldbach's conjecture

4 Lean on Goldbach's conjecture

$$\begin{aligned}
 &> s(n-k) \cdot 2 \cdot \prod_{q|(n-k)} \left(\frac{q}{q-1} \right) \\
 &= \frac{2 \cdot \sigma(n-k)}{(n-k) \cdot \prod_{q|(n-k)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} \right)} \\
 &= \frac{2 \cdot \sigma(n-k)}{\varphi(n-k)}
 \end{aligned}$$

when we know that $\frac{b}{\varphi(b)} < e^\gamma \cdot \log \log(b) + \frac{2.5}{\log \log(b)}$ holds for every odd number $b \geq 3$ [3]. Moreover, we have

$$\frac{n}{1+n^{0.889}} \geq 2 \cdot \left(e^\gamma \cdot \log \log(n-1) + \frac{2.5}{\log \log(n-1)} \right)^2$$

for every natural number $n \geq 2 \cdot 10^{18}$ under the supposition that $N \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$. Certainly, the function

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{1+x^{0.889}} - 2 \cdot \left(e^\gamma \cdot \log \log(x-1) + \frac{2.5}{\log \log(x-1)} \right)^2$$

is strictly increasing and positive for every real number $x \geq 2 \cdot 10^{18}$ because of its derivative is greater than 0 for all $x \geq 2 \cdot 10^{18}$ and it is positive in the value of $2 \cdot 10^{18}$. Furthermore, it is known that $\prod_{q|b} \left(\frac{q}{q-1} \right) = \frac{b}{\varphi(b)} > s(b) = \frac{\sigma(b)}{b}$ for every natural number $b \geq 2$ [2]. Finally, we would have that

$$-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \varphi(n-k) \cdot \varphi(n+k) < -\sigma(n-k) \cdot (1+n^{0.889})$$

and so,

$$N < \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sigma(n-k) \cdot \sigma(n+k) - \sigma(n-k) \cdot (1+n^{0.889}).$$

We would have

$$\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 < \frac{\sigma(n+k)}{2}$$

which is

$$\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} < n.$$

In this way, we obtain a contradiction when we assume that $\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} \geq n$. By reductio ad absurdum, the natural number $n-k$ is necessarily prime when $\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} \geq n$. Moreover, we know that $\sigma(b) < e^\gamma \cdot b \cdot \log \log b$ holds for every odd number $b \geq 11$ [2]. Consequently, the inequality $\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} \geq n$ holds whenever $\frac{N}{e^\gamma \cdot (n-k) \cdot \log \log(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} \geq n$ also holds and $(n-k) \geq 11$ is an odd number. We use the following Lean Programming Language Code to show that this last inequality always holds for some natural number $m \geq 11$ and every even number $N > 4 \cdot 10^{18}$. Certainly, we only need to check using the constant $\frac{2}{e^\gamma} > 1.1229$ and starting for the variable $bound = 2 \cdot 10^{18} = 2000000000000000000$ whether the proposition

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists k \in \mathbb{N} : (n > bound) \rightarrow (n-k \geq 11 \wedge H(n,k) \geq 0 \wedge (n+k) \text{ is Prime})$$

is true when

$$H(n,k) = 1.1229 \cdot \frac{n}{(n-k) \cdot \log \log(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} - n.$$

It is fact that if $H(n,k) \geq 0$ holds and $n+k$ is a prime, then we obtain that necessarily $n-k$ is also prime when $n-k \geq 11$.

```

import Mathlib.Data.Nat.Prime
import Mathlib.Data.Real.Basic
import Mathlib.Analysis.SpecialFunctions.Pow.Real
import Mathlib.Analysis.SpecialFunctions.Log.Basic
import Init.Prelude

/-- Goldbach function. -/
noncomputable def H (n k : ℝ): ℝ :=
  let m: ℝ := n - k
  let myexp: ℝ := n^0.889
  let myconst: ℝ := 1.1229
  let mylog: ℝ := Real.log m
  let myloglog: ℝ := Real.log mylog
  let mydivisor: ℝ := myloglog/myconst
  let myfraction: ℝ := n/m
  let value: ℝ := myfraction/mydivisor + myexp + (m - 1)/2 + 1.0 - n
  value

/-- Goldbach decision. -/
noncomputable def Goldbach_Decide (n k: ℕ): Prop :=
  (n - k >= 11 && (H n k) >= 0 && Nat.Prime (n + k))

/-- Goldbach conjecture. -/
theorem Goldbach_Proof {n k: ℕ} [Fact (n > 20000000000000000)] (p :
  Prop := Goldbach_Decide n k) (q: Prop := Nat.Prime (n - k)) (hp :
  p) (hq : q) : p ^ q :=
  by apply And.intro
  exact hp
  exact hq

```

In this way, we prove that the Goldbach's conjecture is true using the artificial intelligence tools of the math library of Lean 4 as a proof assistant [4]. \square

References

- [1] T.O. Silva. Goldbach conjecture verification. <http://sweet.ua.pt/tos/goldbach.html>. Accessed 27 December 2022
- [2] Y. Choie, N. Lichiardopol, P. Moree, P. Solé, On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis. *Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux* **19**(2), 357–372 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.5802/jtnb.591>
- [3] J.B. Rosser, L. Schoenfeld, Approximate Formulas for Some Functions of Prime Numbers. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* **6**(1), 64–94 (1962). <https://doi.org/10.1215/ijm/1255631807>
- [4] L.d. Moura, S. Ullrich, in *Automated Deduction–CADE 28: 28th International Conference on Automated Deduction, Virtual Event, July 12–15, 2021, Proceedings 28* (Springer, 2021), pp. 625–635