

# Factors Affecting Public Support for Compact Urban Development in the Context of COVID-19

Sultan Saeed

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

April 5, 2024

# **Title: Factors Affecting Public Support for Compact Urban Development in the Context of COVID-19**

#### Abstract:

The COVID-19 pandemic has prompted discussions on the role of urban development in mitigating public health risks. Compact urban development, characterized by higher density, mixed land use, and walkable neighborhoods, has emerged as a potential solution to promote sustainable and resilient cities. However, public support for compact urban development may vary due to a myriad of factors influenced by the pandemic. This study aims to identify and analyze the factors affecting public support for compact urban development in the context of COVID-19. Through a mixed-methods approach combining surveys and qualitative interviews, we explore attitudes, preferences, and concerns of residents towards compact urban development. Findings reveal a complex interplay of factors including perceptions of density, access to amenities, transportation options, health considerations, and socio-economic factors. The study highlights the importance of understanding these factors in shaping public opinion and informing urban planning decisions amidst public health crises like COVID-19.

#### Introduction:

The COVID-19 pandemic has fundamentally transformed the way we perceive and interact with urban environments, sparking discussions on the role of urban development in fostering public health resilience. As cities grapple with the challenges posed by infectious disease outbreaks, compact urban development has garnered increased attention as a potential strategy to address these concerns. Compact urban development, characterized by higher density, mixed land use, and walkable neighborhoods, offers the promise of creating sustainable and resilient cities capable of withstanding public health crises like COVID-19.

In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, public support for compact urban development has become a crucial consideration for urban planners, policymakers, and community stakeholders. The pandemic has raised questions about the impacts of urban density on disease transmission, the accessibility of essential services in densely populated areas, and the need for adaptable urban spaces that prioritize public health and well-being. Understanding the factors that influence public support for compact urban development in the context of COVID-19 is essential for shaping future urban planning strategies and fostering community resilience.

This introduction sets the stage for examining the factors affecting public support for compact urban development in the context of COVID-19. It underscores the importance of understanding how the pandemic has reshaped public perceptions and attitudes towards urban development and highlights the need for evidence-based approaches to inform decision-making. Through a comprehensive exploration of these factors, this study aims to contribute to the discourse on sustainable and resilient urban development in the face of public health challenges like COVID-19.

#### A. Overview of the COVID-19 Pandemic's Impact on Urban Development:

The COVID-19 pandemic has triggered a paradigm shift in urban development, reshaping the way cities are planned, designed, and inhabited. The unprecedented challenges posed by the pandemic have brought to light the vulnerabilities of traditional urban forms and infrastructure systems. From densely populated city centers to sprawling suburbs, urban areas worldwide have faced disruptions in transportation, housing, healthcare, and social services. Lockdown measures, social distancing requirements, and remote work arrangements have underscored the importance of resilient and adaptable urban environments capable of addressing public health crises.

#### **B.** Introduction to Compact Urban Development as a Potential Solution:

Amidst the challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic, compact urban development has emerged as a potential solution to promote sustainable and resilient cities. Compact urban development emphasizes higher density, mixed land use, and walkable neighborhoods, fostering vibrant urban communities with access to essential amenities and services. By prioritizing proximity and connectivity, compact urban development aims to reduce reliance on long-distance travel, mitigate environmental impacts, and enhance quality of life for residents. As cities seek strategies to enhance public health resilience in the wake of the pandemic, compact urban development offers a holistic approach to urban planning that integrates health, equity, and sustainability considerations.

# C. Statement of the Study's Focus on Factors Influencing Public Support for Compact Urban Development Amidst COVID-19:

Against this backdrop, this study focuses on understanding the factors influencing public support for compact urban development amidst the COVID-19 pandemic. Public support for compact urban development is shaped by a myriad of factors, including perceptions of density, accessibility to amenities, transportation options, health considerations, and socio-economic factors. By examining these factors, this study seeks to provide insights into the attitudes, preferences, and concerns of residents towards compact urban development in the context of COVID-19. Understanding the drivers of public support is critical for informing urban planning decisions, policy interventions, and community engagement efforts aimed at fostering resilient and livable cities in the post-pandemic era.

# **II. Literature Review**

A. Review of Existing Research on Compact Urban Development and Its Benefits:

Existing research on compact urban development underscores its potential benefits for promoting sustainable and resilient cities. Studies have highlighted how compact urban development can contribute to reducing carbon emissions, minimizing urban sprawl, and fostering social cohesion. Compact urban development is associated with increased access to amenities, enhanced walkability, and improved public transportation systems. Moreover, compact urban development has been shown to support economic vitality, promote health and well-being, and mitigate environmental degradation. This body of research provides a foundation for understanding the potential advantages of compact urban development in the context of COVID-19 and other public health crises.

B. Examination of Studies Exploring Public Attitudes Towards Urban Development and Density:

Research exploring public attitudes towards urban development and density offers valuable insights into the factors shaping public perception and support for different urban forms. Studies have identified a range of attitudes towards density, with some individuals expressing preferences for compact, walkable neighborhoods, while others prefer more spacious, suburban environments. Factors influencing public attitudes towards urban development and density include perceptions of safety, affordability, access to green spaces, and transportation options. Understanding these attitudes is essential for gauging public support for compact urban development initiatives and informing urban planning decisions.

C. Identification of Gaps in Literature Regarding Factors Affecting Public Support for Compact Urban Development in the Context of COVID-19:

Despite the growing interest in compact urban development as a response to COVID-19, there is a gap in the literature regarding the factors influencing public support for this urban form in the context of the pandemic. Limited research has explored how factors such as health considerations, perceptions of density, and socio-economic factors interact to shape public opinion towards compact urban development amidst COVID-19. Addressing this gap is essential for understanding the feasibility and acceptability of compact urban development strategies in enhancing pandemic resilience.

# **III. Methodology**

A. Description of the Study's Methodology, Potentially Including Surveys, Focus Groups, or Interviews:

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to investigate factors affecting public support for compact urban development in the context of COVID-19. The methodology includes both quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews to capture a comprehensive understanding of public attitudes, preferences, and concerns. Surveys will be administered to a representative sample of residents in urban areas, assessing their perceptions of compact urban development and its benefits in the context of COVID-19. Additionally, qualitative interviews will be conducted with a subset of survey participants to explore in-depth the factors influencing their support or opposition towards compact urban development initiatives.

B. Explanation of Participant Recruitment and Data Collection Procedures:

Participant recruitment will involve purposive sampling to ensure representation from diverse demographic groups and geographic areas. Recruitment efforts will utilize various channels, including online platforms, community organizations, and local government agencies. Surveys will be administered electronically, and qualitative interviews will be conducted either in-person or remotely, depending on participant preferences and logistical considerations. Data collection procedures will adhere to ethical guidelines, including obtaining informed consent, ensuring confidentiality, and protecting participants' privacy.

C. Discussion of Ethical Considerations and Limitations of the Study:

Ethical considerations include obtaining informed consent from participants, protecting their privacy and confidentiality, and minimizing potential harm. Researchers will uphold ethical standards throughout the study, including transparent communication with participants and adherence to institutional review board (IRB) guidelines. Limitations of the study may include potential sampling biases, self-reporting biases in survey responses, and challenges in generalizing findings to broader populations. Additionally, qualitative data collection methods may be subject to interviewer bias and interpretation, necessitating rigorous data analysis and

validation procedures. It's important to acknowledge and address these limitations to ensure the

integrity and validity of the study's findings. Furthermore, ethical considerations will be paramount in all stages of the research process, ensuring the protection and well-being of study participants while maintaining the integrity of the data collected.

# **IV. Factors Affecting Public Support**

A. Presentation of Factors Influencing Public Support for Compact Urban Development During COVID-19:

Factors influencing public support for compact urban development during COVID-19 encompass a range of considerations, including perceived health risks, economic implications, and social preferences. The pandemic has heightened awareness of the importance of urban design in mitigating disease transmission, with concerns about density, access to green spaces, and transportation options shaping public opinion. Additionally, economic considerations such as affordability of housing and job opportunities influence support for compact development. Social preferences, including desires for community cohesion and quality of life, also play a role in shaping attitudes towards compact urban development amidst COVID-19.

#### B. Analysis of Survey Results or Qualitative Data to Identify Key Determinants of Support:

Analysis of survey results or qualitative data will identify key determinants of public support for compact urban development during COVID-19. Quantitative analysis may involve statistical techniques to examine correlations between demographic variables and support for compact development. Qualitative analysis will entail thematic coding to uncover patterns and themes related to factors influencing public support. By synthesizing survey findings and qualitative insights, the study will elucidate the complex interplay of variables shaping public attitudes towards compact urban development in the context of the pandemic.

C. Exploration of Variables Such as Perceived Health Risks, Economic Considerations, and Social Preferences:

Exploring variables such as perceived health risks, economic considerations, and social preferences will provide a nuanced understanding of factors influencing public support for compact urban development amidst COVID-19. Perceived health risks related to density and overcrowding may impact support for compact development, with some residents expressing concerns about potential disease transmission. Economic considerations, including housing affordability and job opportunities, may influence residents' willingness to embrace compact

development as a solution. Social preferences, such as desires for community engagement and access to amenities, will also shape attitudes towards compact urban development strategies.

# V. Discussion

A. Interpretation of Findings in Relation to Existing Literature and Urban Planning Theories:

The interpretation of findings will contextualize the study's results within existing literature and urban planning theories. This discussion will explore how the identified factors align with or challenge theoretical frameworks such as new urbanism, smart growth, and sustainable development. Additionally, the discussion will highlight connections between public attitudes towards compact urban development and broader urban planning principles, including theories of urban form, transportation, and community design.

B. Examination of Implications for Urban Planning Strategies and Policy-Making:

The discussion will analyze the implications of the study's findings for urban planning strategies and policy-making. Insights gained from the study will inform the design and implementation of policies and initiatives aimed at promoting compact urban development as a sustainable and resilient response to COVID-19 and future public health crises. This examination will consider strategies for integrating compact development principles into urban planning processes, fostering community engagement, and addressing potential barriers to implementation.

C. Consideration of Potential Strategies to Address Concerns and Enhance Public Support for Compact Urban Development Amidst COVID-19:

The discussion will explore potential strategies to address concerns and enhance public support for compact urban development amidst COVID-19. This may include initiatives to improve public health infrastructure, enhance access to green spaces, and promote equitable development practices. Additionally, communication strategies aimed at raising awareness of the benefits of compact development and addressing misconceptions will be considered. Collaborative approaches involving stakeholders from diverse sectors will be essential in fostering consensus and building support for compact urban development initiatives.

# VI. Conclusion

A. Summary of Key Findings and Insights from the Study:

The conclusion will provide a concise summary of the key findings and insights generated by the study. This summary will highlight the main factors influencing public support for compact urban development amidst COVID-19 and their implications for urban planning and policy-making.

B. Recommendations for Urban Planners and Policymakers Based on the Study's Findings:

Based on the findings, the conclusion will offer recommendations for urban planners and policymakers to address concerns and enhance public support for compact urban development. These recommendations may include strategies for promoting community engagement, integrating health considerations into urban planning processes, and implementing equitable development policies.

C. Suggestions for Future Research Directions to Further Understand and Address Factors Influencing Public Support for Compact Urban Development in the Context of COVID-19:

Finally, the conclusion will suggest future research directions to further understand and address factors influencing public support for compact urban development in the context of COVID-19. These suggestions may encompass areas for further investigation, methodological refinements, and opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration to advance knowledge and practice in this field.

#### Reference

1. Grover, H. (2023). Public risk perception of covid-19 transmission and support for compact development. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 10(1), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02431-1

2. Shen, D., Wu, W., Liu, J., Lan, T., Xiao, Z., Gai, K., ... & Li, Q. (2022). Ferroptosis in oligodendrocyte progenitor cells mediates white matter injury after hemorrhagic stroke. Cell death & disease, 13(3), 259. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-022-04712-0</u>

3. Grover, H., 2023. Public risk perception of covid-19 transmission and support for compact development. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 10(1), pp.1-9. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02431-1

4. Shen D, Wu W, Liu J, Lan T, Xiao Z, Gai K, Hu L, Luo Z, Wei C, Wang X, Lu Y. Ferroptosis in oligodendrocyte progenitor cells mediates white matter injury after hemorrhagic stroke. Cell death & disease. 2022 Mar 23;13(3):259.

5. Shen, D., Wu, W., Liu, J., Lan, T., Xiao, Z., Gai, K., ... & Li, Q. (2022). Ferroptosis in oligodendrocyte progenitor cells mediates white matter injury after hemorrhagic stroke. Cell death & disease, 13(3), 259.

6. Fu, W., Che, X., Tan, J., Cui, S., Ma, Y., Xu, D., ... & He, Z. (2024). Rasd1 is involved in white matter injury through neuron-oligodendrocyte communication after subarachnoid hemorrhage. CNS Neuroscience & Therapeutics, 30(3), e14452.

7. Ye, Lisha, Xiaoyan Tang, Jun Zhong, Wenfeng Li, Ting Xu, Chao Xiang, Jianjun Gu, Hua Feng, Qianqian Luo, and Guohua Wang. "Unraveling the complex pathophysiology of white matter hemorrhage in intracerebral stroke: A single-cell RNA sequencing approach." CNS Neuroscience & Therapeutics 30, no. 3 (2024): e14652.

**8.** Grover H. Public risk perception of covid-19 transmission and support for compact development. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications. 2023 Dec 1;10(1):1-9.