An elementary proof of the completeness of the Łukasiewicz axioms

Michal Botur

Department of Algebra and Geometry Faculty of Science, Palacký University tř. 17.listopadu 1192/12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic michal.botur@upol.cz

The main aim of this talk is twofold. Firstly, to present an elementary method based on Farkas' lemma for rationals how to embed any finite partial subalgebra of a linearly ordered MV-algebra into Q [0; 1] and then to establish a new elementary proof of the completeness of the Lukasiewicz axioms for which the MV-algebras community has been looking for a long time. Secondly, to present a direct proof of Di Nola's representation Theorem for MV-algebras and to extend his results to the restriction of the standard MV-algebra on rational numbers.

1 Introduction

The representation theory of MV-algebras is based on Chang's representation Theorem [4], McNaughton's Theorem and Di Nola's representation Theorem [5]. Chang's representation Theorem yields a subdirect representation of all MV-algebras via linearly ordered MV-algebras. McNaughton's Theorem characterizes free MV-algebras as algebras of continuous, piece-wise linear functions with integer coefficients on [0, 1]. Finally, Di Nola's representation Theorem describes MV-algebras as sub-algebras of algebras of functions with values into a non-standard ultrapower of the MV-algebra [0, 1].

The main motivation for our paper comes from the fact that although the proofs of both Chang's representation Theorem [4] and McNaughton's Theorem are of algebraic nature the proof of Di Nola's representation Theorem is based on model-theoretical considerations. We give a simple, purely algebraic, proof of it and its variants based on the Farkas' Lemma for rationals [6] and General finite embedding theorem [3].

1.1 Generalized finite embedding theorem

By an *ultrafilter* on a set I we mean an ultrafilter of the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(I)$ of the subsets of I.

Let $\{\mathbf{A}_i; i \in I\}$ be a system of algebras of the same type F for $i \in I$. We denote for any $x, y \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ the set

$$[\![x = y]\!] = \{j \in I; x(j) = y(j)\}.$$

If F is a filter of $\mathcal{P}(I)$ then the relation θ_F defined by

$$\theta_F = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in (\prod_{i \in I} A_i)^2; \llbracket x = y \rrbracket \in F \}$$

is a congruence on $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i$. For an ultrafilter U of $\mathcal{P}(I)$, an algebra

$$(\prod_{i\in I}\mathbf{A}_i)/U := (\prod_{i\in I}\mathbf{A}_i)/\theta_U$$

N. Galatos, A. Kurz, C. Tsinakis (eds.), TACL 2013 (EPiC Series, vol. 25), pp. 35-38

An elementary proof of the completeness of the Łukasiewicz axioms

is said to be an *ultraproduct* of algebras $\{\mathbf{A}_i; i \in I\}$. Any ultraproduct of an algebra \mathbf{A} is called an ultrapower of \mathbf{A} . The class of all ultraproducts (products, isomorphic images) of algebras from some class of algebras \mathcal{K} is denoted by $P_U(\mathcal{K})$ ($P(\mathcal{K})$, $I(\mathcal{K})$). The class of all finite algebras from some class of algebras \mathcal{K} is denoted by \mathcal{K}_{Fin} .

Definition 1. Let $\mathbf{A} = (A, F)$ be a partial algebra and $X \subseteq A$. Denote the partial algebra $\mathbf{A}|_X = (X, F)$, where for any $f \in F_n$ and all $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$, $f^{\mathbf{A}|_X}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is defined if and only if $f^{\mathbf{A}}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in X$ holds. Moreover, then we put

$$f^{\mathbf{A}|_X}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := f^{\mathbf{A}}(x_1,\ldots,x_n).$$

Definition 2. An algebra $\mathbf{A} = (A, \mathbf{F})$ satisfies the general finite embedding (finite embedding property) property for the class \mathcal{K} of algebras of the same type if for any finite subset $X \subseteq A$ there are an (finite) algebra $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}}$ and an embedding $\rho : \mathbf{A}|_X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B}$, i.e. an injective mapping $\rho : X \to B$ satisfying the property $\rho(f^{\mathbf{A}|_X}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)) = f^{\mathbf{B}}(\rho(x_1),\ldots,\rho(x_n))$ if $x_1,\ldots,x_n \in X, f \in \mathbf{F}_n$ and $f^{\mathbf{A}|_X}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ is defined.

Finite embedding property is usually denoted by (FEP). Note also that a quasivariety \mathcal{K} has the FEP if and only if $\mathcal{K} = \text{ISPP}_{U}(\mathcal{K}_{Fin})$ (see [2, Theorem 1.1] or [1]).

Theorem 1. [3, Theorem 6] Let $\mathbf{A} = (A, F)$ be a algebra and let \mathcal{K} be a class of algebras of the same type. If \mathbf{A} satisfies the general finite embedding property for \mathcal{K} then $\mathbf{A} \in ISP_{U}(\mathcal{K})$.

Theorem 2. [3, Theorem 7] Let $\mathbf{A} = (A, F)$ be an algebra such that F is finite and let \mathcal{K} be a class of algebras of the same type. If $\mathbf{A} \in \text{ISP}_{U}(\mathcal{K})$ then \mathbf{A} satisfies the general finite embedding property for \mathcal{K} .

1.2 Farkas' lemma

Let us recall the original formulation of Farkas' lemma [6, 7] on rationals:

Theorem 3 (Farkas' lemma). Given a matrix A in $\mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$ and \mathbf{c} a column vector in \mathbb{Q}^m , then there exists a column vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, $\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}_n$ and $A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}$ if and only if, for all row vectors $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $\mathbf{y} \cdot A \ge \mathbf{0}_m$ implies $\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{c} \ge 0$.

In what follows, we will use the following equivalent formulation:

Theorem 4 (Theorem of alternatives). Let A be a matrix in $\mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$ and **b** a column vector in \mathbb{Q}^n . The system $A \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$ has no solution if and only if there exists a row vector $\mathbf{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Q}^m$ such that $\mathbf{\lambda} \geq \mathbf{0}_m$, $\mathbf{\lambda} \cdot A = \mathbf{0}_n$ and $\mathbf{\lambda} \cdot \mathbf{b} < 0$.

2 The Embedding Lemma

In this section, we use the Farkas' lemma on rationals to prove that any finite partial subalgebra of a linearly ordered MV-algebra can be embedded into $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ and hence into the finite MV-chain $\mathbf{L}_k \subseteq [0, 1]$ for a suitable $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathbf{M} = (M; \oplus, \neg, 0)$ be a linearly ordered MV-algebra, $X \subseteq M \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset. Then there is a rationally valued map $s : X \cup \{0, 1\} \longrightarrow [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ such that

1. s(0) = 0, s(1) = 1,

An elementary proof of the completeness of the Łukasiewicz axioms

Michal Botur

2. if $x, y, x \oplus y \in X \cup \{0, 1\}$ such that $x \leq \neg y$ and $x, y \in X \cup \{0, 1\}$ then $s(x \oplus y) = s(x) + s(y)$.

3. if $x \in X$ then s(x) > 0.

Lemma 2 (Embedding Lemma). Let us have a linearly ordered MV-algebra $\mathbf{M} = (M; \oplus, \neg, 0)$ and let $X \subseteq M$ be a finite set. Then there exists an embedding $f : \mathbf{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{L}_k$, where \mathbf{X} is a partial MV-algebra obtained by the restriction of \mathbf{M} to the set X and $\mathbf{L}_k \subseteq [0,1]$ is the linearly ordered finite MV-algebra on the set $\{0, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{2}{k}, \cdots, 1\}$.

3 Extensions of Di Nola's Theorem

In this section, we are going to show Di Nola's representation Theorem and its several variants not only via standard MV-algebra [0, 1] but also via its rational part $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ and finite MV-chains. To prove it, we use the Embedding Lemma obtained in the previous section. First, we establish the FEP for linearly ordered MV-algebras.

Theorem 5. 1. The class \mathcal{LMV} of linearly ordered MV-algebras has the FEP.

2. The class \mathcal{MV} of MV-algebras has the FEP.

Note that the part (1) of the preceding theorem for subdirectly irreducible MV-algebras can be easily deduced from the result that the class of subdirectly irreducible Wajsberg hoops has the FEP (see [1, Theorem 3.9]). The well-known part (2) then follows from [1, Lemma 3.7, Theorem 3.9]. We are now ready to establish a variant of Di Nola's representation Theorem for finite MV-chains (finite MV-algebras).

- **Theorem 6.** 1. Any linearly ordered MV-algebra can be embedded into an ultraproduct of finite MV-chains.
 - 2. Any MV-algebra can be embedded into a product of ultraproducts of finite MV-chains.
 - 3. Any MV-algebra can be embedded into an ultraproduct of finite MV-algebras (which are embeddable into powers of finite MV-chains).

The next two theorems cover Di Nola's representation Theorem and its respective variants both for rationals and reals.

- **Theorem 7.** 1. Any linearly ordered MV-algebra can be embedded into an ultrapower of $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$.
 - 2. Any MV-algebra can be embedded into a product of ultrapowers of $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$.
 - 3. Any MV-algebra can be embedded into an ultrapower of the countable power of $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$.
 - 4. Any MV-algebra can be embedded into an ultraproduct of finite powers of $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$.
- **Theorem 8.** 1. Any linearly ordered MV-algebra can be embedded into an ultrapower of [0,1].
 - 2. Any MV-algebra can be embedded into a product of ultrapowers of [0, 1].
 - 3. Any MV-algebra can be embedded into an ultrapower of the countable power of [0, 1].
 - 4. Any MV-algebra can be embedded into an ultraproduct of finite powers of [0, 1].

Proof. (1)-(4) It is a corollary of Theorem 6.

An elementary proof of the completeness of the Łukasiewicz axioms

References

- [1] Blok, W., Ferreirim, I.: On the structure of hoops, Algebra Universalis 43, 233-257 (2000).
- Blok, W., van Alten, C. J.: On the finite embeddability property for residuated ordered groupoids, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357, 4141–4157 (2005).
- [3] Botur, M: A non-associative generalization of Hájeks BL-algebras, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 178, 24–37 (2011).
- [4] Chang, C.C.: Algebraic analysis of many valued logics, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88, 467–490 (1958).
- [5] Di Nola, A.: Representation and reticulation by quotients of MV-algebras, Ricerche di Matematica XL, 291–297 (1991).
- [6] Farkas, G.: Über die Theorie der einfachen Ungleichungen, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik 124, 1–27 (1902).
- [7] Schrijver, A.: Theory of linear and integer programming, Wiley-Interscience series in discrete mathematics and optimization, John Wiley & sons (1998).